PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the: INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	WIPO POT					
То:	PCT					
Griffith Hack GPO Box 1285K MELBOURNE VIC 3001	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)					
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 1 5 MAR 2005					
Applicant's or agent's file reference FP20995	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below					
International application No. International filing date PCT/AU2005/000020 11 January 2005	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 2 February 2004					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification						
Int. Cl. ⁷ G01M 11/02, A61B 3/12, G01J 9/00						
Applicant IATIA IMAGING PTY LTD et al						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU Authorized Officer						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA RAJEEV DESHMUKH						
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Faccimile No. (02) 6285 3929	Telephone No. (02) 6283 2145					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2005/000020

Box	No. I	Basis of the	opinion						
1.	With regar	rd to the languras filed, unless	age, this opin otherwise in	ion has been dicated unde	established on r this item.	the basis of the	he internation	al application	in the language in
	the fo	opinion has be ollowing langu national search	age	, whi	s of a translatio ch is the langua .1(b)).	n from the ori age of a transl	ginal langua ation furnish	ge into ed for the purp	oses of
2.	With regar	rd to any nucle evention, this o	otide and/or pinion has be	amino acid en establishe	sequence disci	losed in the in	ternational a	pplication and	necessary to the
	a. type of	f material				•		•	
		a sequence list	ing						
		table(s) related	l to the seque	nce listing	•	•	•		. "
	b. format	t of material			,	•		-	
		in written forn	nat .						• .
		in computer re	adable form		:				
	c. time o	f filing/furnish	ing						
		contained in th							
					ication in comp		form.		•
		furnished subs	equently to the	is Authority	for the purpose	es of search.			
3.	filed	or furnished, t	he required st	tatements tha	rsion or copy o t the information ond the applicat	on in the subs	equent or ado	litional copies	hereto has been is identical to that ed.
4.	Additiona	d comments:							
			•						
				•	•				
			-						
			-			•			
		•			•		•		
	•					÷		•	
	•								
				,					
			•						
		,		•					
			•		•		•		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2005/000020

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
paid additional fees
paid additional fees under protest
not paid additional fees
2. X This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
complied with
x not complied with for the following reasons:
The international application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to one invention or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. In coming to this conclusion the International Searching Authority has found that there are different inventions as follows:
1. Claims 1 – 15 are directed towards an apparatus and method for compensating for aberrations in an optical system in which data relating to measured aberrations are used to correct an image. It is considered that the application of a transformation based on phase data to an image to correct for aberrations in the image comprises a first "special technical feature".
 Claims 16 & 17 are directed towards an apparatus and method for measuring aberrations in an optical system. It is considered that the measurement of the aberrations in an optical system based on measured phase data comprises a second special technical feature.
The feature common to all of the claims is the measurement of aberrations in an optical system using phase data. However this common feature is well known in the art in the form of Hartmann or Shack-Hartmann wavefront sensors, for example. These sensors measure the shape of a wavefront after it has passed through an optical system, wherein the wavefront is a surface of constant phase. Hence these sensors measure phase data. From the shape of the wavefront compared with a reference shape of an undistorted wavefront, any aberrations in the system are measured. Consequently the common feature does not constitute "a special technical feature" within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence, since it makes no contribution over the prior art. Since there exists no other common feature which can be considered as a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence, no technical relationship within the meaning of PCT Rule 13 between the different inventions can be seen. Consequently the claims do not satisfy the requirement of unity of invention a posteriori.
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
X all parts
the parts relating to claims Nos.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2005/000020

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				, inventive step or industrial
1. Statement		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
No	velty (N)	Claims	15	YES
		Claims	1 – 14, 16, 17	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES	
		Claims	1-17	· NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1 – 17	YES	
·		Claims		NO

Citations and explanations:

The following documents identified in the International Search Report have been considered as relevant for the purposes of this report:

D1: US 6 331 059

D2: Primot et al. Deconvolution from wave-front sensing: a new technique for compensating turbulencedegraded images.

D3: WO 2002/035995

D4: WO 1998/027863

Novelty (N) (claims 1 – 14, 16 & 17)

Claims 1, 8 & 12: D1 discloses an apparatus and method for compensating for the effect of aberrations in an optical system on an acquired image, and explicitly incorporates D2 as including the details of the calculations. The method of D1 includes simultaneously acquiring two images - one of a beam of light that is directed through the system and measured on a Shack-Hartmann wavefront sensing module, and the other a retinal image taken by a high resolution CCD detector. As discussed in Box IV, and as shown in D2 (see Section 2), the Shack-Hartmann wavefront sensing module produces phase data regarding the measured wavefront. The optical transfer function of the optical system is calculated from the phase data measured by the Shack-Hartmann sensor (see D2 for the details of this calculation, as referenced in D1). A transformation which includes the optical transfer function is defined such that if applied to the measured beam of light, the reference, undistorted wavefront would be recovered (see equations 1-3 of D2). This transformation is then applied to the image data, resulting in the removal of high order aberrations. D1, incorporating the details included in D2, therefore teaches all of the features of claims 1 & 8 and hence these claims lack novelty.

Claims 2-7, 9-11, 13 & 14: The features of claims 2-7, 9-11, 13 & 14 are also disclosed in D1 and hence these claims also lack novelty in light of this document.

Claims 16 & 17: In the method of D1, one of the steps involved includes the calculation of the aberrations in the optical system which are to be corrected. Hence, claims 16 & 17 also lack novelty in light of this document.

D3 & D4 both disclose apparatus and methods for measuring the aberrations of an optical system in which a beam of light that is directed through the optical system is measured on a wavefront sensor. As discussed above, wavefront sensors measure phase data relating to the shape of the wavefront. Aberrations in the measured wavefront are then compared to the reference wavefront that would be produced if no aberrations were present. This results in the determination of the aberration in the optical system. Claims 16 & 17 therefore lack novelty in light of both D3 & D4.

(Continued on Supplemental Sheet)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International Application No.

PCT/AU2005/000020

Suppl	emental	Box
-------	---------	-----

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box V

Inventive Step (IS) (claims 1 - 17)

Claims 1 - 14, 16 & 17: These claims also lack an inventive step for the reasons given above.

Claim 15: The feature added by dependent claim 15, that the monitor for displaying an image of the fundus is included in the camera, lacks an inventive step in light of D1. D1 discloses a monitor that is external to the camera, but the inclusion by the person skilled in the art of this monitor within the camera is not considered inventive.